

Dame Sara Thornton DBE QPM

Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner 5th Floor, Globe House 89 Eccleston Square London, SW1V 1PN

SfB: +443000732073

Email: shelley.perera@iasc.independent.gov.uk

22 November 2021

Mr Matthew Rycroft CBE Permanent Secretary of Home Office

By email: Matthew.Rycroft@homeoffice.gov.uk

Dear Matthew

I am writing in my capacity as the Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner, a statutory provision of the Modern Slavery Act 2015. My UK-wide remit is to encourage good practice in the prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of modern slavery and human trafficking offences and the identification of victims.

Today, I am seeking reassurance that your department is taking adequate measures to stop goods that are made from forced labour, and subject to international import bans, from entering your department's supply chains.

Public procurement is an important area where governments can demonstrate leadership in tackling modern slavery. I welcome this government's commitment to extending Section 54 of the Modern Slavery Act to cover reporting to the public sector, and the leadership that your department is showing by publishing its own modern slavery statement ahead of new legislation.

However, I would like to draw your attention to a series of withhold release orders (WROs), or import bans, that the USA has placed on goods that are made under the conditions of modern slavery or forced labour.

Under section 307 of the 1930 Tariff Act, the US government prohibits the importation of any product that was mined, produced or manufactured wholly or in part by forced labour. Companies have 90 days to provide evidence that these issues have been addressed, or risk bans on future shipments.

Withhold release orders can force dramatic turnarounds in corporate behaviour, as was recently demonstrated in Malaysia's disposable glove manufacturing sector. Decades of traditional audits had failed to make any lasting impression, but a series of WROs and investigations by the US Customs and Border Protection (CBP) agency, watched closely by investors and stock exchanges, triggered improvements at an unprecedented pace. Over the past year, the four major Malaysian glove manufacturers have not only improved working conditions but have also repaid more than 20,000 workers an estimated US\$100 million in costs and recruitment fees. The speed and scale of reform has surprised the most seasoned experts in the sector.

WROs are not perfect, nor are they the sole answer to the endemic problem of forced labour. But, based on credible evidence and investigations, they can be a powerful accelerator for change. Nevertheless, without international harmonisation there is a high risk that banned goods will be diverted to nations that are taking a less robust stance, such as the UK.

In October 2021, CBP issued a WRO against Malaysian glove producer Supermax. Responding to this event, Lord Alton of Liverpool asked the UK government what assessment they have made of alleged

labour abuses by the company; how many of the company's products they had purchased, and the cost of those purchases.

I was disturbed by Lord Kamall's response that government had placed an order with Supermax in July for 135 million gloves at a cost of £7.9 million. Despite his reference to safeguards to terminate a contract in the event of substantiated allegations against a provider, no actual termination of the Supermax contract nor practical safeguarding measures were mentioned.

Consequently, I am concerned that the UK government may have other contracts with companies producing, handling or supplying goods that are subject to WROs. Overleaf is a list of the most recent and relevant WROs against products that have a high likelihood of entering the UK, including palm oil, PPE, tomatoes, tech products, textiles and apparel.

I would ask that you share this list with your procurement teams and enquire whether they have let contracts with suppliers, producers or importers of goods that are in whole, or in part, produced by these companies. And, if so, what steps are they taking to work with the businesses to address modern slavery concerns.

As the UK prides itself on leading the anti-slavery agenda, it is vital that government upholds this commitment by sending a strong warning to unscrupulous producers and importers.

I look forward to receiving your response. In the interests of transparency, please respond in a way that enables me to publish your letter on my website.

Yours sincerely,

Sun Yura

Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner

DATE	Company/Area	Products	Application	Status
CHINA	I			
23.06.21	Hoshine Silicon Industry Ltd and subsidiaries	Silica-based products	Applies to silica-made goods made by Hoshine and subsidiaries, as well as materials and final goods made from or using those products, regardless of where those products are produced	Active
13.01.21	China's Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (XUAR)	Cotton, tomatoes and downstream products	Applies to products produced in whole or in part in the XUAR region, as well as downstream items produced outside the region	Active
30.11.20	Xinjiang Production and Construction Corporation (XPCC) and subordinates	Cotton and cotton products	Applies to all products produced by XPCC, its subsidies and also any goods made in whole or part derived from that cotton, including apparel, garments, textiles	Active
08.09.20	Hefei Bitland Information Technology Co Ltd	Computer parts	CBP statement: "information reasonably indicates that Hefei uses both prison and forced labour to produce electronics"	Active
08.09.20	Xinjiang Junggar Cotton and Linen Co, Ltd in the XUAR region	Cotton and processed cotton	CBP statement: "information reasonably indicates that this entity and its subsidiaries use prison labour in their raw cotton processing operations"	Active
03.09.20	Yili Zhuowan Garment Manufacturing Co., Ltd. and	Apparel	CBP statement: "information reasonably indicates that these entities use prison and forced labour." CBP identified forced labour indicators include restriction of movement, isolation, intimidation	Active

DATE	Company/Area	Products	Application	Status
	Baoding LYSZD Trade and		and threats, withholding of wages, and abusive	
	Business Co Ltd		working and living conditions.	
25.08.20	No. 4 Vocational Skills Education Training Center (VSETC), XUAR	All products	CBP statement "information indicates that this "re-education" internment campis providing prison labour to nearby entities in Xinjiang." CBP identified forced labour indicators include highly coercive/unfree recruitment, work and life under	Active
			duress, restriction of movement	
MALAYSIA				
04.11.21	Smart Glove group (including GX Corporation Sdn Bhd, GX3 Specialty Plant, Sigma Glove Industries, and Platinum Glove Industries Sdn Bhd)	Disposable gloves	Seven ILO indicators of forced labour found during CBP's investigation	Active
21.10.21	Supermax Corporation and subsidiaries (Maxter Glove Manufacturing Sdn Bhd, Maxwell Glove Manufacturing Bhd and Supermax Glove Manufacturing)	Disposable gloves	Ten of 11 ILO forced labour indicators found during investigation	Active

DATE	Company/Area	Products	Application	Status
30.12.20	Sime Darby Plantation Berhad and subsidiaries and joint ventures	Palm oil and palm oil products	All 11 ILO forced labour indicators were found in its production process (palm oil is found in cosmetics, processed foods, biodiesel, soaps)	Active
30.09.20	FGV Holdings Berhad, subsidiaries and JVs	Palm oil and palm oil products	As well as many forced labour indicators, there is also evidence of forced child labour used in the production process	Active
15.07.20	Top Glove Corporation Bhd	Disposable gloves	WRO lifted 09.09.21 – WRO modified after CBP review, finding that TG had addressed all 11 forced labour indicators in its facilities – including \$30 million in remedial payments to workers	Inactive
30.09.19	WRP Asia Pacific Sdn Bhd	Disposable gloves	WRO lifted on 24.03.20 – CBP reviewed evidence and found that the company was no longer operating forced labour conditions	Inactive



Matthew Rycroft CBE Permanent Secretary 2 Marsham Street London SW1P 4DF www.homeoffice.gov.uk

Dame Sara Thornton DBE QPM Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner 5th Floor, Globe House, 89 Eccleston Square London, SW1V 1PN

9 December 2021

By email to: Sara.Thornton@iasc.independent.gov.uk

Dear Dame Sara,

Thank you for your letter of 22 November about the measures government departments are taking to tackle modern slavery risks in our supply chains. I am grateful to you for raising this important issue with myself and Permanent Secretaries across government.

The public sector accounts for £290 billion of expenditure on goods and services annually and plays a crucial role in incentivising responsible business conduct. As you will be aware, we are taking world-leading steps to leverage this spending power to help tackle modern slavery and drive up labour standards in global supply chains.

For the first time ever, UK ministerial government departments, including the Home Office, have voluntarily published their first annual modern slavery statements, setting out how they are preventing modern slavery in their operations and supply chains. The government has also published a progress report on how we have met the ambitious goals set out in the 2020 government modern slavery statement. We will also extend the Modern Slavery Act's reporting requirement to large public bodies.

The Home Office has played a leadership role in much of this work and continues to help build greater capability across the wider public sector to conduct modern slavery due diligence. We have reached over 1,000 public sector officials through workshops and events on the key steps they should take to tackle modern slavery and created a range of tools to support them. We have led on the rollout of the Modern Slavery Assessment Tool, which has been completed by over 3,000 suppliers who have subsequently received feedback on how to strengthen their modern slavery due diligence. We have also created a network of director-level anti-slavery advocates to oversee how their ministerial government departments are tackling modern slavery and to increase collaboration across government.

As highlighted in the Home Secretary's foreword in the Home Office modern slavery statement, our goal is for the Home Office to be the gold standard for how businesses and the public sector identifies and prevents modern slavery. This is of course a long-term objective, but we are taking meaningful steps, and have set new goals to help us meet this ambition. For example, we have committed to conducting modern slavery risk assessment on all of our large contracts, and have already risk assessed 286 contracts so that we can focus our modern slavery due diligence on higher risk supply chains, and work in partnership with suppliers to make tangible improvements.

You raised the important issue of Withhold Release Orders (WROs) recently issued by the US government. The evidence the US government uses to issue WROs related to forced labour is not publicly available due to the commercially sensitive and criminal nature of these investigations. The WROs issued by the US government are made under a different legal framework, governing law and jurisdiction, and therefore do not apply in the UK. However, we appreciate that the US' WROs and Findings List does indicate modern slavery risks may be present in companies named in that list and that this list could be a useful data source to inform modern slavery risk assessments of contracts. The Home Office and Cabinet Office provide departments with guidance and tools to support them in conducting modern slavery due diligence; we are actively considering how we might include the US' WROs in future guidance.

In the meantime, it is right that we check whether government holds contracts with the companies subject to WROs so that we can take any further actions as necessary. I can confirm that the Home Office has no contracts with the companies named in the list you shared. Our commercial specialists are engaging with some of our suppliers to identify whether companies on the US' WROs and Findings List feature within their supply chains and to establish what steps are being taken to ensure workers are not being exploited.

With regard to government procurement from Supermax, I know that DHSC is investigating the issues with Supermax and that their Permanent Secretary will provide you with further information on the steps their department is taking to mitigate modern slavery in response to your letter.

Notwithstanding that response, I want to reassure you that the Home Office has worked with a range of external partners to help address modern slavery risks in Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) supply chains, including developing bespoke <u>guidance</u> and training for buyers and suppliers of PPE to help them identify and address modern slavery in the supply chain of this crucially important commodity during a global pandemic. Further details on the measures we have taken to address modern slavery risks in PPE supply chains can be found in the Home Office modern slavery statement.

Thank you once again for your letter, for raising these questions, and for your ongoing work to tackle modern slavery in global supply chains.

hows shready,

Matthew Rycroft CBE Permanent Secretary